No. 109, Original



IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

October Term, 1988

STATE OF OKLAHOMA and
STATE OF TEXAS,
Plaintiffs,

V.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO,
Defendant.

NEW MEXICO'S RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT

HAL STRATTON
Attorney General of New Mexico

HENRY M. BOHNHOFF
Deputy Attorney General

CHRISTOPHER D. COPPIN
Assistant Attorney General

PETER THOMAS WHITE*
ERIC RICHARD BIGGS
Special Assistant Attorneys General

New Mexico Interstate
Stream Commision
Bataan Memorial Building, Room 101
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87503
(505) 827-6150
Attorneys for New Mexico

* *Counsel of Record*

November 30, 1988

R. VERGARA PRINTING COMPANY · SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

No. 109, Original

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

October Term, 1988

STATE OF OKLAHOMA and
STATE OF TEXAS,
Plaintiffs,

V.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO,
Defendant.

NEW MEXICO'S RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT

New Mexico does not oppose plaintiffs' November 18, 1988 Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Complaint. New Mexico requests, if the motion is granted, leave to file a supplemental answer under Rule 15(d), Fed.R.Civ.P., and Rule 9.2, Rules of the Supreme Court of the United States.

Dated November 30, 1988.

HAL STRATTON
Attorney General of New Mexico

HENRY M. BOHNHOFF
Deputy Attorney General

CHRISTOPHER D. COPPIN
Assistant Attorney General

PETER THOMAS WHITE
ERIC RICHARD BIGGS
Special Assistant Attorneys General

New Mexico Interstate
Stream Commision
Bataan Memorial Building, Room 101
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87503
(505) 827-6150
Attorneys for New Mexico